ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

RFP NO. ADOC12-00001105 – Privatization for All Correctional Health Services

CHANGES IN REQUIREMENTS THAT WILL FORMALLY CHANGE THE REQUIREMENTS WILL BE SHOWN AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS AMENDMENT.

AMEND TO DELETE

Special Terms and Conditions, Indemnification, Subsection 1.29.2 is hereby deleted, as it does not apply.

AMEND TO CHANGE

From

1.35.4 The contractor shall maintain the performance bond as long as liabilities associated with this contract are at least \$50,000.

To

1.35.4 The contractor shall maintain the performance bond as long as liabilities associated with this contract are at least \$50,000. However, should the contract end or come to term, the Department will consider reviewing a written request by the Contractor to lower the performance bond down to an appropriate adequate amount to support existing liabilities. This is not a guarantee that the bond will be lowered but a consideration for review at the time of occurrence.

<u>Questions submitted on November 3, 2011</u> - this question was previously answered on Amendment 3, however, the shading in the table may have been illegible and therefore is being reposted with this amendment

Questions 3 and 4: RFP Page 27 - 2.2.44/Definitions - Please provide the number of inmates, by facility, in each of the 5 medical categories on July 1, 2011 and July 1, 2010. RFP Page 28 - 2.2.46/Definitions - Same as for medical on page 27 for mental health.

Answer: For the most current 2011 distribution please refer to the *Medical (M) and Mental Health (MH) Score Inmate Distribution by Complex as of 8/09/11* report posted under <u>Data and Reports</u> at

http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data_report_list_092111.aspx

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105 AMENDMENT NO. 4 Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

For 2010, see the following, which was included in the <u>Data and Reports</u> provided for the May 27, 2011 Correctional Health Services Request for Information:

Arizona Department of Corrections Medical (M) and Mental Health (MH) Score Inmate Distribution by Complex as of 6/30/10

Medical	Eyman	Florence	Lewis	Perryville	Phoenix	Tucson	Douglas	Safford	Winslow	Yuma	Total
Score							_			{	
Distribution											
M-Score										1	
M-1	3,258	2,261	2,848	2,055	230	1,905	1,642	1,297	1,311	1,849	18,656
M-2	1,722	1,396	1,725	1,052	191	1,264	917	587	543	800	10,197
M-3	343	503	461	307	71	909	103	40	37	46	2,820
M-4	202	321	210	37	46	487	1	10	5	-	1,319
M-5	5	14	12	3	1	43	-	-	-	-	78
Total	5,530	4,495	5,256	3,454	539	4,608	2,663	1,934	1,896	2,695	33,070

Arizona Department of Corrections Medical (M) and Mental Health (MH) Score Inmate Distribution by Complex as of 6/30/10

Mental	Eyman	Florence	Lewis	Perryvil	Phoenix	Tucson	Douglas	Safford	Winslow	Yuma	Total
Health	1			1e			1				
Score					l			l			
Distribution	1										
MH-Score	1										
MH-1	2,470	2,381	2,506	1,127	161	1,230	2,181	1,584	1,542	2,178	17,360
MH-2	1,562	991	1,013	1,053	29	816	479	335	352	507	7,137
MH-3	1,484	1,112	1,710	1,273	319	2,315	3	15	2	10	8,243
MH-4	14	11	27	1	25	247	-	-	-	-	325
MH-5	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	5
Total	5,530	4,495	5,256	3,454	539	4,608	2,663	1,934	1,896	2,695	33,070

Questions submitted on November 10, 2011 and November 14, 2011

Question 1: RFP Page - Attachments - Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2011 - Please provide the number of Factor patients and related costs as identified on the Hematology referrals as reported within the Off-Site Encounter report.

Answer: Please refer to the FY2011 TPA Claims by Diagnosis Reports posted under <u>Data and Reports</u> at

http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data_report_list_092111.aspx

ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302
PROCUREMENT SERVICES
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105 AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

This report provides related costs for Off-Site Encounters.

Question 2: RFP Page - Attachments - Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2011 - Please provide the number of Cancer patients by diagnosis with the related costs as identified on the Hematology referrals as reported within the Off-Site Encounter report

Answer: Please refer to the FY2011 TPA Claims by Diagnosis Reports posted under <u>Data and</u> Reports at

http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

This report provides related costs for Off-Site Encounters.

Question 3: RFP Page - Attachments - Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2011 - Please provide the number of primary diagnosis with the related costs as identified on the Neurology referrals as reported within the Off-Site Encounter report

Answer: Please refer to the FY2011 TPA Claims by Diagnosis Reports posted under <u>Data and Reports</u> at

http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data_report_list_092111.aspx

This report provides related costs for Off-Site Encounters.

Question 4: RFP Page - Uniform Instructions to Offerors, page 6 - F.1/Number of Types of Awards - This section says "The State reserves the right to make multiple awards or to award a Contract by individual line items or alternatives, by group of line items or alternative, or to make an aggregate award, or regional award, whichever is most advantageous to the State." Special Terms and Conditions, page 7, Section 1.18 says "It is the intention of the Department to award a single Contract for all proposed services. Can you please clarify?

Answer: ADC's intention is to award a single contract, however reserves the right to make multiple awards to achieve the same result for comprehensive services should this be in the best interests of the State to do so. The final determination on this will be as a result of the evaluation of responses based on the requirements of the RFP.

Question 5: RFP Page - Uniform Terms and Conditions, page 5 - Section 6.2/Indemnification - There is indemnification language here and different indemnification language included in the Special Terms and Conditions, page 9, Section 1.29. Is the Contractor expected to comply with both sections? Can you please clarify the differences in the language.

ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302
PROCUREMENT SERVICES
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Answer: In accordance with Uniform Terms and Conditions 2.3, Contract Order of Precedence, the Special Terms and Conditions prevail over the Uniform Terms and Conditions. Please change at the beginning of this amendment where subsection 1.29.2 of the Special Terms and Conditions has been removed, as it does not apply.

Question 6: RFP Page - Special Terms and Conditions, page 2 - Section 1.4/Term of Contract - Will you please confirm that the contract starts on the date of award rather than the first day of actual service provision and that the initial three year term starts on the date of award? What is the anticipated date of contract award?

Answer: The contract and term begin on the date of award. In order for the Contractor to begin transitioning services the contract must be in effect. The Department does not have an anticipated date of award at this time.

Question 7: RFP Page - Special Terms and Conditions, page 9 - Section 1.26/Evaluation - Please provide the methodology that will be used to score the Fee Schedule. Please provide the methodology that will be used to score the Special Terms and Conditions. Is this an all (if you agree) or nothing (if you take exception) point evaluation? Please provide the methodology that will be used to score the Uniform Instructions to Offerors and Uniform Terms and Conditions. Is this an all (if you agree) or nothing (if you take exception) point evaluation

Answer: The Department's present intention for evaluation of the Fee Schedule is that the best pricing will receive 100% of the points and the other pricing proposals will receive the appropriate reduced points based on the use of a ratio scale.

The evaluation of the Special Terms and Conditions along with the Uniform Terms and Conditions and Uniform Instructions to Offerors will not be an all or nothing, however a material exception to the requirements may result in an offerors proposal being rejected.

Question 8: RFP Page - Special Terms and Conditions, page 17 - Section 1.35.4/Performance/Payment Bonds - This section requires the 25% performance bond be maintained as long as liabilities are at least \$50,000. Given the size of the contract, the performance will likely be in excess of \$25 million. Why is it necessary to maintain a \$25 million bond for liabilities of significantly less than this, all the way down to \$50,000? Will the ADC consider reducing the performance bond amount post contract termination to 25% of the actual contract liabilities?

Answer: Please see change to Section 1.35.4 at the beginning of this amendment.

Question 9: RFP Page - Special Terms and Conditions, page 17 - Section 1.36.2/Inclusive Offerors -

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Please provide the definition and certification requirements for a small business.

Answer: In accordance with A.R.S § 41-1001, State Government; Paragraph 20. "Small business" means a concern, including its affiliates, which is independently owned and operated. which is not dominant in its field and which employs fewer than one hundred full-time employees or which had gross annual receipts of less than four million dollars in its last fiscal year. For purposes of a specific rule, an agency may define small business to include more persons if it finds that such a definition is necessary to adapt the rule to the needs and problems of small businesses and organizations.

Question 10: RFP Page - Scope of Work, page 22 - Section 2.1.10 - Are all existing contracts and provider costs currently being paid by the Arizona Department of Corrections being paid at or below AHCCCS rates? If not, please identity the providers that are not and the associated rates

Answer: As required by State of Arizona, Laws 2009, 3rd Special Session, Chapter 6, contracts and provider costs are paid as follows:

- For inpatient and outpatient hospital services, the Department shall reimburse at a level that does not exceed the reimbursement methodology established pursuant to A.R.S. section 36-2903.01, subsection h.
- For health and medical services, the Department shall reimburse at a level that does not exceed the capped fee-for-service schedule that is adopted by the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System Administration pursuant to Title 36, Chapter 29, Article 1 and that is in effect at the time the services are delivered.

Question 11: RFP Page - Scope of Work, page 22 - Section 2.1.12 - Please provide some analysis of the potential impact on the inmate population in ADC managed facilities of the pending contracts for 5,000 private prison beds and SB 1621 requiring inmates sentenced for up to one year to be housed in County Jails. Please provide inmate population projections for ADC managed facilities for each of the potential five years of this contract. Given the potential fluctuation of the inmate population based on the two items listed above, will the ADC consider a minimum population floor to be negotiated each year of the contract for purposes of the per inmate per day reimbursement, i.e., 33,000 in year one?

Answer: ADC population forecasts through FY 2017 are provided. These forecasts are subject to change and shall not be construed to guarantee population for the purposes of a Contract in any way.

July 1, 2012 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 34,259

January 1, 2013 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 34,709 July 1, 2013 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 33,184

ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302
PROCUREMENT SERVICES
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105 AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

January 1, 2014 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 32,784 July 1, 2014 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 32,384

January 1, 2015 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 32,984 July 1, 2015 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 32,584

January 1, 2016 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 33,184 July 1, 2016 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 33,784

January 1, 2017 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 34,384 July 1, 2017 Inmate Population Forecast for ADC state-run prisons only: 34,984

As stated in the RFP, page 22, Section 2.1.12 of the Scope of Work, the Department reserves the right to require the Contractor to add or delete an Arizona State Prison Complex in addition to those originally included under this Request for Proposal, and to adjust the number of inmates served at any Contracted site. Therefore, the Offeror is put on notice that the number of inmates covered under this Contract shall increase and decrease over time and shall be prepared in advance to agree to make necessary adjustments required by population changes. The Department will not amend the RFP to include "a minimum population floor to be negotiated each year of the contract for purposes of the per inmate per day reimbursement."

Question 12: RFP Page - Scope of Work, page 49 - Section 2.6.13 - Please provide the cost of the existing inventory currently on hand for all healthcare and pharmaceutical supplies that are required to be purchased by the Contractor

Answer. Inventory on hand varies by day based upon usage and ordering. Actual supplies on hand will be inventoried at the time the Contract is awarded.

For FY 2011 annual costs please refer to the *Health Services Drugs & Medicine Expenditures FY2010-FY2011* posted under <u>Data and Reports</u> at http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data_report_list_092111.aspx

In addition, in FY 2011, ADC spent \$1,606,265 on supplies coded as medical and dental supplies.

Question 13: RFP Page - Scope of Work, page 64 - Section 2.10.5.1 - Please identify the location and types of specialty services that the Contractor is required to continue.

Answer: Please refer to the *ADC Health Services On-Site Specialty Services* posted under <u>Data and Reports</u> at

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

Questions submitted on November 14, 2011

Question 1: Inmates Returning from Private Prisons - Subsection 2.1.7 of the RFP requires the successful Offeror to coordinate the return to ASPCs of inmates housed in private in-state correctional facilities when this return is required for healthcare reasons.

a. As a monthly or yearly average, how many inmates are returned to ASPCs from private correctional facilities in Arizona for healthcare reasons?

Answer: In FY 2010, 104 inmates were returned. In FY 2011, 35 inmates were returned.

- b. What criteria are used to determine that an inmate housed in private in-state correctional facilities require return to an ASPC for healthcare reasons?
- c. How is this determination made?

Answer: ADC will make all final determinations regarding the transfer of inmates between private and state-run prison facilities in compliance with the terms of each INTERSTATE CORRECTIONS COMPACT, which generally state that "Upon the request of the receiving state, the sending state shall retake any inmate(s) within thirty (30) days after receipt of the request to retake".

d. Are there different procedural requirements for such transfers than for inmate transfers between ASPCs? If so, please explain.

Answer: No. Please refer to RFP Section 2.9 Health Assessments/Intake Process.

e. Are such inmate returns from private correctional facilities more likely to arrive at particular ASPCs? If so, please identify these Prison Complexes.

Answer: ADC will make all final determinations regarding the transfer of inmates between private and state-run prison facilities and the prison unit in which the inmate will be housed. The ADC classification process will determine the inmate's custody level; service needs and requirements including medical, mental health, substance abuse treatment, and sex offense treatment; program needs and requirements including education, vocational training, work, and self-improvement; and final housing assignment.

Question 2: Inmates Housed in Other States - Subsection 2.1.8.1 indicates that the Department houses Arizona inmates in other states under interstate compacts and that the successful Offeror is required to

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

review and pay for any extraordinary healthcare costs for these inmates.

a. For each of the last three years, how many Arizona inmates on average have been housed in prisons outside the state?

Answer: At the end of FY 2007 ADC had 99 inmates housed in other state's prisons; at the end of FY 2008 ADC had 96 inmates housed in other state's prisons; at the end of FY 2009 ADC had 89 inmates housed in other state's prisons; at the end of FY 2010 ADC had 82 inmates housed in other state's prisons; and at the end of FY 2011 ADC had 71 inmates housed in other state's prisons.

- b. At the present time, how many Arizona inmates are housed in prisons outside the state?
- c. Where are these inmates housed?

Answer: ADC currently has 70 inmates housed in state's prisons in CA, CO, CT, DE, FL, ID, IL, IA, KS, KY, MD, MA, MN, MO, MT, NV, NH, NJ, NM, OH, OK, OR, UT, VA, WA, and WY. ADC also has 1 inmate housed with FBOP (Federal).

d. Is there a plan to return some or all of these inmates to ASPCs? If so, please describe.

Answer: There are no current plans to return some or all Interstate Compact inmates to Arizona. Determinations are made by ADC on a case by case basis.

e. On average over the last three years, what extraordinary healthcare costs have been incurred by these inmates while they were housed out of state?

Answer: Please refer to the following report posted under Data and Reports at: http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

Interstate Compact Inmates FY2008-FY2001

f. As a monthly or yearly average, how many inmates are returned to ASPCs from out-of-state correctional facilities for healthcare reasons?

Answer: No inmate has been returned to ADC from out-of-state correctional facilities for healthcare reasons since 2001.

- g. What criteria are used to determine that an inmate housed in out-of-state correctional facilities require return to an ASPC for healthcare reasons?
- h. How is this determination made?

ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302
PROCUREMENT SERVICES
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105 AMEND

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Answer: ADC will make all final determinations regarding the transfer of inmates between private and state-run prison facilities in compliance with the terms of each INTERSTATE CORRECTIONS COMPACT, which generally state that "Upon the request of the receiving state, the sending state shall retake any inmate(s) within thirty (30) days after receipt of the request to retake".

Question 3: Risk Technical Manual - Subsection 2.2.10 refers to the Objective Classification – Custody and Internal Risk Technical Manual. a. Please provide access to this manual. b. Has it been updated since April 2, 2010?

Answer: A link to the <u>Arizona Department of Corrections Objective Classification: Custody and Internal Risk Technical Manual</u> dated October 28, 2010" can be found under <u>Critical Reference Material</u> at

http://www.azcorrections.gov/HealthServicesRFP 2.html

Question 4: Inpatient Components - Subsection 2.2.40 defines the inpatient components (IPCs).

a. Please confirm that IPCs are located at ASPC-Florence, ASPC-Lewis and ASPC-Tucson but not at other facilities.

Answer: IPCs are located at ASPC-Florence, ASPC-Lewis, ASPC-Tucson and ASPC-Perryville.

b. Please provide the bed capacities for each IPC.

Answer: ASPC-Florence has 15 beds; ASPC-Lewis has 13 beds; ASPC-Tucson has 48 beds and ASPC-Perryville has 7 beds.

c. For each IPC, please provide the monthly admission and discharge rates for the last year.

Answer: This information is not tracked and is therefore not available.

d. For each IPC, please provide the average length of stay each month for the last year.

Answer: This information is not tracked and is therefore not available.

e. For each IPC, please provide the average size of the waiting list each month for the last year.

Answer: This information is not tracked and is therefore not available.

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Question 5: DOC Monitoring Staff - Subsection 2.2.25 indicated that Department of Corrections Monitoring Staff "coordinate all necessary activities relative to the Contractor, the Contractor's Arizona Corporate Staff, the Contractor's Area Manager, and the Arizona Department of Corrections." Please describe the nature and scope of this coordination and indicate to what extent the direction and management of contractor service delivery operations is included under the term "coordination."

Answer: Please refer to RFP Section 2.19 Contract Monitoring General Requirements. In addition, please see Section 2.20 Contract Performance Quarterly Audits and other RFP Sections for additional clarification on Contract Monitoring activities.

Question 6: Network Hospitals - Subsection 2.2.41 defines The Joint Commission, formerly known as the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Health Care Organizations, but the RFP does not appear to set forth any requirements with regarding to The Joint Commission. Subsequent Subsections under 2.8 refer to network providers' credentials as including licensure and accreditation, but do not specify Joint Commission accreditation. Is there any requirement that network hospitals be accredited by The Joint Commission?

Answer: No. Hospitals are required to be licensed by the Arizona Department of Health Services.

Question 7: Medical Classification - Subsection 2.2.44 provides definitions for each of the five medical classification codes (M-1 through M-5). Please provide statistics, broken out by ASPC, for the number of inmates currently classified at each of these five levels.

Answer: Please refer to the following report posted under Data and Reports at: http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

Medical (M) and Mental Health (MH) Score Inmate distribution by Complex as of 8/09/11

Question 8: Palliative Care - Subsection 2.2.43 notes that palliative care for terminal conditions is included in medically necessary treatment. Subsection 2.10.5.5.6 further describes the requirements for terminally ill inmates.

a. How many inmates have required palliative care over the last year?

Answer: 10 inmates required palliative care over the last year.

b. Where are inmates who require hospice and/or palliative care currently housed?

Answer: Inmates, who require hospice and/or palliative care are housed at ASPC-Florence, ASPC-

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Tucson; in Specialty Hospitals, Select and Promise, located-in Phoenix; and in Community Hospice/Hospice of the Valley locations.

c. How many inmates have received care under the Department's Hospice program over the last year?

Answer: 20 inmates have received hospice care. ADC does not have a Hospice Program.

d. How many inmates have received medical paroles during each of the last three years?

Answer: The Governor approved the recommendation of the Board of Executive Clemency to commute the sentences of 18 inmates due to imminent danger of death during the last three years, as follows:

2009: 4 inmates

2010: 9 inmates

2011: 5 inmates

Question 9: Medical Services Utilization - Subsection 2.2.45 itemizes the types of medical services provided at each ASPC. To provide prospective bidders with sufficient information to develop responsible staffing matrices, please provide the number of inmates requiring medical treatment per year for the following conditions:

- a. Optometry
- b. Ophthalmology
- c. Audiology
- d. Orthotics/Prosthetics
- e. Congestive heart failure
- f. Other heart disease
- g. Hypertension
- h. Stroke
- i. Diabetes
- j. Cancer (specify types)
- k. Pneumonia
- 1. Tuberculosis
- m. Hepatitis B
- n. Hepatitis C
- o. AIDS/HIV
- p. Huntington's
- q. Traumatic brain injury
- r. Bed linens
- s. Dialysis

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

- t. Restricted medical diets
- u. Physical therapy
- v. Any other medical illnesses/conditions that have represented significant costs to the Department (specify)

Answer: Please refer to the following reports posted under Data and Reports at: http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

- Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2011
- Dental Summary Reports FY 2011
- FY 2011 TPA Claims by Diagnosis Reports
- ADC Health Services On-Site Specialty Services
- ER Visitation Summary FY2008 through FY 2011
- FY 2011 Health Care Services by Providers Paid through ADC
- Hospital Admission Summary Report Fiscal Year 2007 through Fiscal Year 2011

The costs for restricted medical diets are as follows: FY 2010 - \$767,287.96; FY 2011 - \$945,889.05. The actual number of inmates on restricted medical diets per year is not available.

Question 10: Mental Health Classification - Subsection 2.2.46 provides definitions for each of the six mental health classification codes (MH-1, MH-2, MH-3R, MH-3S, MH-4 and MH-5). Please provide statistics, broken out by ASPC, for the number of inmates currently classified at each of these six levels of mental health need.

Answer: Please refer to the following report posted under Data and Reports at: http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data report list 092111.aspx

Medical (M) and Mental Health (MH) Score Inmate distribution by Complex as of 8/09/11

Question 11: Health Needs Requests - Subsection 2.2.53 defines the sick call process. Please provide the number of Health Needs Requests submitted by inmates at each ASPC during the last year.

Answer: Please refer to the following report posted under Data and Reports at: http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data_report_list_092111.aspx

On-Site Medical, Nursing, and Dental Health Needs Requests by Inmate Fiscal Year 2007 through Fiscal Year 2011.

Question 12: Court Orders - Subsection 2.6.6 requires the Contractor to meet all court orders.

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

a. Please provide copies of all existing court orders relevant to correctional healthcare services at all ASPCs.

Answer: There are currently no active court orders or consent decrees related to the Arizona Department of Corrections' Health Services.

b. Please provide a list of all pending litigation related to correctional healthcare at any ASPC.

Answer: There are currently 113 lawsuits in the ADC database covering 2007 through 2011 year to date. Additional information on individual lawsuits may be obtained from the Clerk of the Court of the county in which the lawsuit was filed. Information on federal lawsuits may be obtained from the Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court, District of Arizona.

Stocks v. Schriro

CV07-2154 (Federal)

Brown v. ADC

CV07-2619 (Federal)

Krugel v. Baird

CV07-1798 (Federal)

Clark v. Schriro

CV06-85 (Federal)

Salazar v. State

CV2007-5734 (Pima)

Bradbury v. Schriro

CV08-1336 (Federal)

Trenton v. State

CV2008-000057 (Maricopa)

Mushatt v. Greeley

CV07-1961 (Federal)

Barden v. Schriro

CV07-98 (Federal)

McDonald v. Macabuhay

CV07-01022 (Federal)

Lee v. Greeley

CV08-744 (Federal)

Wilkins v. State

CV2007-000147 (Maricopa)

Cano v. Taylor

CV07-2456 (Federal)

Sheets v. Vinluan

CV2007-020264 (Maricopa)

Dunbar v. ADC

CV08-420 (Federal)

Baker v. Schweitzer

CV2008-2312 (Pima)

Velasco v. Schriro

CV08-325 (Federal)

ARIZONA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Spencer v. Sharp CV08-401 (Federal)

Burgoyne v. Schriro CV07-0862 (Federal)

Altamirano v. Schriro CV08-137 (Federal)

Ratcliff v. Schriro CV08-2022 (Federal)

Gehrke v. Schriro CV08-137 (Federal)

Gibson v. Schriro CV08-986 (Federal)

Garcia v. Schriro CV08-0826 (Federal)

Guillen v. Schriro CV08-1279 (Federal)

Herring v. Schriro CV08-1249 (Federal)

Yoder v. Napolitano CV08-1691 (Federal)

Woodburn v. Macabuhay CV08-1201 (Federal)

Baker v. Schriro CV2008-029273 (Maricopa)

Valenzuela v. ADC CV2007-6673 (Pima) Reyes v. ADC CV08-1625 (Federal)

Dennison v. Schriro CV08-0847 (Federal)

Milazzo v. Schriro CV08-2155 (Federal)

Scott v. Schriro CV06-2784 (Federal)

Arnold v. Schriro CV08-1703 (Federal)

Meyers v. Schriro CV08-0078 (Federal)

Calvin, Jimmy v. State CV2009-006783 (Maricopa)

Cable v. Schriro CV08-1618 (Federal)

Calvin, Doretta v. State CV2009-006783 (Maricopa)

Johnson v. Schriro CV08-1322 (Federal)

Ballesteros v. State

CV2009-001173 (Maricopa)

Valenzuela v. State CV2008-03149 (Pinal)

Landovazo v. State

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

CV2009-001173 (Maricopa)

Johnson v. Schriro

CV2008-029272 (Maricopa)

Hughes v. Schriro

CV08-657 (Federal)

Goldwater v. Brewer

CV2009-017552 (Maricopa)

Trenton v. Schriro

CV09-335 (Federal)

Cline v. Schriro

CV09-114 (Federal)

Anderson v. State

CV2009-012080 (Maricopa)

Serrano v. Schriro

CV09-00855 (Federal)

Robinson v. Coaker

CV08-287 (Federal)

Picurro v. Baird

CV09-938 (Federal)

C 1 0 5 550 (1 cacrai)

Durrant v. ADC

CV09-1644 (Federal)

Capes v. Schriro

CV08-2191 (Federal)

Guillen v. Ryan

CV2009-030660 (Maricopa)

Bologna v. Ryan

CV09-1288 (Federal)

Rodriguez v. LeSac

CV09-1050

Phillips v. Ryan

CV09-757

Goldwater v. Brewer

CV2009-010741 (Maricopa)

Houser v. Grant-Ellis

CV09-0937 (Federal)

Jordon v. Cook

CV09-2099 (Federal)

Baker v. Baird

CV2009-031410 (Maricopa)

Durrant v. State

CV2009-037101 (Maricopa)

Waide v. Belcourt

CV09-01522

Goldwater v. Brewer

CV2009-036872 (Maricopa)

Young, v. Baker

CV2009-037065 (Maricopa)

Ramsdell v. Ryan

CV10-0052 (Federal)

McCoy v. Ryan

CV10-0052 (Federal)

Schaede v. Schriro

CV09-1631 (Federal)

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

Johnson v. ADC

CV2010-0099 (Maricopa)

Young v. Baker CV10-247 (Federal)

Baker v. Ryan CV10-82 (Federal)

Vanderhoof v. Ryan CV09-2632 (Federal)

Bologna v. Owens CV10-0403 (Federal)

Crago v. Ryan CV10-131 (Federal)

Moore v. Kanter CV10-652 (Federal)

Baker v. Baird CV10-391 (Federal)

Bologna v. Baird CV10-1017 (Federal)

Bologna v. Grant CV10-0253 (Federal)

Hunt v. Cione CV10-220 (Federal)

Martinez v. Wohler CV10-1468 (Federal)

Boateng v. John Doe 1

CV10-1354 (Federal)

Garrett v. Wohler CV10-0258 (Federal)

Bologna v. Fredrickson CV10-01534 (Federal)

Arenberg v. Ryan CV10-2228 (Federal)

Creamer v. Ryan CV10-0305 (Federal)

Cook v. State CV10-1795 (Federal)

Johansen v. Ryan CV10-1912 (Federal)

Pasquale v. Taylor CV09-1618

Carey v. ADC

CV2010-070053 (Maricopa)

Stockman v. Rowe CV10-0603 (Federal)

Cazares v. Morris CV09-2168 (Federal)

Parsons v. Ryan CV11-00075 (Federal)

Cook v. Baird CV2010-05021 (Pinal)

Baker v. Kendall CV2011-002042 (Maricopa)

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION.

This Solicitation is amended as follows:

CV10-2747 (Federal)

Cisneros v. Tucson Correctional Health

Services

CV10-0665 (Federal)

Mach v. State

CV11-1734 (Federal)

Salerno v. State

CV2011-009091 (Maricopa)

Lebovitz v. Kenyon CV11-369 (Federal)

Deroche v. Adu-Tutu

CV11-302 (Federal)

Scarim v. Ryan

CV11-1736 (Federal)

Reed v. Barcklay

CV2011-00728 (Yuma)

Seawright v. State

CV11-1304 (Federal)

Patterson v. State

CV10-2364 (Federal)

Bertanelli v. White

CV11-408 (Federal)

Mushatt v. Ryan

CV11-951 (Federal)

Jennings v. State

CV11-01747 (Federal)

Smith v. Joyce

CV10-391 (Federal)

Chicharello v. ADC

CV2011-099100 (Maricopa)

Hitchcock v. State

CV2011-096604 (Maricopa)

Warden v. Harris

CV11-1461 (Federal)

Stockman v. Ryan

CV11-0307 (Federal)

Andreen v. State

CV2011-019782 (Maricopa)

Dodd v. State

CV2011-016031 (Maricopa)

Reed v. Barcklay

CV11-1339 (Federal)

Parker v. Adu-Tutu

c. Is the Department currently anticipating additional court orders relevant to correctional healthcare services?

Answer: There are currently no active court orders or consent decrees related to the Arizona Department of Corrections' Health Services.

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105 AMEND

AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION. THIS SOLICITATION IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

Question 13: Inmate Grievances - Subsection 2.5.2 requires the Contractor to provide staffing for representation in matters related to inmate grievances, administrative hearings or judicial proceedings.

a. For each ASPC for the last three years, please indicate how many inmate grievances related to healthcare have been submitted.

Answer: This information is available for ADC as a whole.

FY 2009: 719 medical (healthcare) grievances; 270 medical (healthcare) grievance appeals.

FY 2010: 712 medical (healthcare) grievances; 252 Medical (healthcare) grievance appeals.

FY 2011: 955 medical (healthcare) grievances; 414 Medical (healthcare) grievance appeals.

b. For each ASPC for the last three years, please indicate how many inmate grievances related to healthcare have been upheld.

Answer: This information is available for ADC as a whole. Medical grievance appeals upheld for the last three fiscal years: FY 2011 - 366, FY 2010 - 208, FY 2009 - 227.

c. For each ASPC for the last three years, please indicate how many administrative hearings related to inmate healthcare have been held.

Answer: ADC does not conduct administrative hearings on health care grievances.

d. For each ASPC for the last three years, please indicate how many judicial proceedings related to inmate healthcare have been held.

Answer: Please see the answer to Question 12(b).

e. On a state-wide basis for each of the last three years, what are the total attorney fees and associated court costs that have been borne by the Department as a result of judicial proceedings related to inmate healthcare?

Answer: This information is not maintained by ADC and is therefore not available.

Question 14: Physical Therapy Equipment & Services - Subsection 2.10.5.5.3 requires the Contractor to provide physical therapy services and to purchase and maintain physical therapy equipment if such equipment is not already available.

a. At what locations are physical therapy services currently provided?

Answer: ADC does not have on-site physical therapy.

ARIZONA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS 1601 W. JEFFERSON, MAIL CODE 55302 PROCUREMENT SERVICES PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

SOLICITATION NO. ADOC12-00001105 AMENDMENT NO. 4

Contract Officer: Karen D. Ingram

SOLICITATION DUE DATE: December 21, 2011

SIGNED COPY OF THIS AMENDMENT MUST BE RETURNED WITH YOUR BID SOLICITATION. THIS SOLICITATION IS AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

b. For each of the last three years, please provide statistics regarding the number of physical therapy services provided.

Answer: Please refer to the following reports posted under Data and Reports at: http://www.azcorrections.gov/adc/divisions/adminservices/data_report_list_092111.aspx

Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2009 Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2010 Off-Site Encounter Data Fiscal Year 2011

c. For each ASPC, please provide an inventory of physical therapy equipment currently available.

Answer: ADC does not have on-site physical therapy.

ALL OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE SOLICITATION SHALL REMAIN IN THEIR ENTIRETY

Vendor hereby acknowledges receipt and understanding of above amendment.	The above referenced Solicitation Amendment is hereby executed this 6th day of December, 2011 at Phoenix, Arizona.
Signature Date	
Typed Name and Title	() Meino
Name of Company	Denel Pickering Onief Procurement Officer

Page 19 of 19